Chemical Oxidation of Graphite: Evolution of the Structure and Properties
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ABSTRACT: Graphene oxide is a complex material whose synthesis is still incompletely understood. To study the time evolution of structural and chemical properties of oxidized graphite, samples at different temporal stages of oxidation were selected and characterized through a number of techniques: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy for the content and bonding of oxygen, X-ray diffraction for the level of intercalation, Raman spectroscopy for the detection of structural changes, electrical resistivity measurements for probing charge localization on the macroscopic scale, and scanning transmission electron microscopy for the atomic structure of the graphene oxide flakes. We found a nonlinear behavior of oxygen uptake with time where two concentration plateaus were identified: Uptake reached 20 at % in the first 15 min, and after 1 h a second uptake started, reaching a highest oxygen concentration of >30 at % after 2 h of oxidation. At the same time, the interlayer distance expanded to more than twice the value of graphite and the electrical resistivity increased by seven orders of magnitude. After 4 days of chemical processing, the expanded structure of graphite oxide became unstable and spontaneously exfoliated; more than 2 weeks resulted in a significant decrease in the oxygen content accompanied by reaggregation of the GO sheets. These correlated measurements allow us to offer a comprehensive view into the complex oxidation process.

INTRODUCTION

Graphene oxide (GO) is a material made of atomically thin graphitic sheets prepared by the oxidation of graphite.1,2 It is widely considered as a precursor for the large-scale production of graphene upon reduction. On the other hand, GO is a subject of research for many potential applications including supercapacitors,3–6 solar cells,7–9 memory devices,10 and bioinspired systems.11–13 There are several chemical routes to GO,16–18 all based on using strongly oxidizing agents. One of the properties sensitively reflecting the structural disorder in graphene is charge transport. As a consequence of covalent functionalization, charge localization eliminates conductance in GO. Removing the functional groups by chemical or thermal methods to some extent restores charge delocalization.19–26 To minimize the negative effect of the chemical treatment on the atomic structure it is necessary to understand the relation between the structure, properties, and the oxidation process of graphite from the beginning.

A number of reports have been published discussing the kinetics of graphite oxidation and exfoliation by the modified Hummers’ method. Nevertheless, the reported results vary with differently modified process conditions. A mechanism of GO formation was recently discussed in papers published by the Tour group.27,28 In their variant of the Hummers’ method, they observed three steps leading to the formation of GO. In the first, graphite is converted into graphite intercalated by sulfuric acid prior to oxidation is not always observed. An oxidation mechanism involving the transformation of different oxygen groups both at the edges and on the basal planes was proposed in ref 29. These processes lead inevitably to a destruction of the aromatic structure of GO.30 The interlayer separation of graphitic sheets...
samples were interpreted to show an increasing proportion of the oxidation progressed. Raman spectra of the oxidized carbonyl groups and their conversion into epoxide groups as probed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) does not increase after the oxidation reaches a certain level. In ref 31, different levels of oxidation were achieved by changing the amount of oxidizing agent while keeping the reaction time constant. The authors observed an oxidation-dependent increase in the interlayer spacing in the XRD experiment. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis showed the formation of hydroxyl and spacing in the XRD experiment. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis showed the formation of hydroxyl and epoxide groups.28

Similar amount of the acid has also been used by other reports, we observed a spontaneous exfoliation accompanied by a significant reduction of oxygen content (from ∼30 to ∼20 at %) and a consequent reaggregation of GO flakes after very long processing times.

**Experimental Section**

**Sample Preparation.** Our graphene oxide synthesis follows a procedure described in ref 33. In this modification of the Hummers’ method, a larger amount of sulfuric acid is used compared with the standard procedure.3 However, a similar amount of the acid has also been used by other groups.28

In brief, graphite powder of microcrystal grade, purity of 99.9995% and crystallite size of 2–15 μm was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Sulfuric acid (350 mL) was mixed with graphite (2 g) at 0 °C for 15 min. After that, a small portion of the graphite dispersion in sulfuric acid was taken for characterization, defining time “zero” on our oxidation time scale. Next, potassium permanganate (8 g) and sodium nitrate (1 g) were added portion-wise every 15 min at 0 °C in a total of 10 portions. Just prior to when a next portion of oxidation agents was added, another liquid fraction was taken from the reaction mixture. The reaction was terminated by adding 500 mL of deionized water and 40 mL of 30% H2O2. Each fraction was filtered through a nylon filter, washed with diluted HCl (10%) to remove metal ions and then with water until the pH of the filtrate was about 7, and finally dried at 75 °C. After the last dose of the OA was added on the 150th minute, the reaction mixture was then stirred for 30 min at 0 °C and for a further 30 min at 35 °C. After that, water (250 mL) was added via a dropping funnel, and the reaction mixture was heated up to 98 °C and held at that temperature for up to 5 weeks. Other fractions were regularly (after days and weeks) taken from the mixture for analysis.

For scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) imaging, TEM grids were dipped into fractions of GO suspended in water. For electrical resistivity measurements, fractions were filtrated through polypropylene filters with 0.4 μm pores to obtain freestanding membranes.

**Sample Characterization.** XPS measurements were carried out using a high-performance spectrometer (Thermo Fisher) with monochromatized Al Kα radiation (1486.6 eV). C 1s peaks were fitted by a combination of Gaussian and Lorentzian line shapes.

Raman spectroscopy was performed with a scanning confocal setup N-TEGRA, where the laser beam of a solid-state laser with a wavelength of 472 nm and a power of 4 mW was circularly polarized and focused to a diffraction-limited spot approximately 400 nm in size. At each position, the backscattered light was dispersed in a monochromator and detected with a Peltier-cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) with an accumulation time of 1 s.

XRD was measured by a D8 DISCOVER SSS diffractometer (Bruker AXS) equipped with 18 kW Cu rotating anode generator in the parallel-beam grazing-incidence geometry at an angle of incidence of 1°. To get a planar sample for the measurement, the GO powder was applied onto a microscopy glass plate covered with a small amount of Vaseline and pressed briefly by another glass. The small angle of incidence eliminated the effect of glass support on the diffraction pattern.

Electrical resistivity was measured in four-probe configuration using parallel gold strips evaporated onto the surface of thin GO films as electrodes. A constant current of 100 nA applied to the outer electrode pair was supplied by a source-meter Keithley 2635B while resistance was evaluated between the central electrode pair. Temperature during the measurement was probed by a Si-diode thermometer. The electrode configuration is presented in Figure S1.

![Image](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b0912)
the separation of facing C atoms away from the functional site. Density functional theory (DFT) simulations were conducted using the GPAW software package with van der Waals interactions included via an appropriate exchange-correlation functional. Equilibrium distances of functionalized bilayer graphene were found by relaxing the structure of a $4 \times 4$ supercell with $20 \AA$ vacuum in the perpendicular direction using a $0.19 \AA$ grid spacing and a $5 \times 5 \times 1$ k-point mesh until maximum forces were $<0.01$ eV/Å and measuring the separation of facing C atoms away from the functional site.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The progression of graphite oxidation is illustrated in Figure 1A, where we plot the content of oxygen measured by XPS versus time from the beginning of the oxidation process. A rapid uptake of 17 at % oxygen is observed already 15 min after introducing the first dose (10%) of oxidation agents (OA). Then, despite progressive addition of OA, oxygen concentration hardly changes, saturating at $\sim$20 at %. Only after 75 min when 50% of OA was added to the mixture, we observed additional uptake of oxygen. The steady increase continues until 120 min (80% of OA) when the oxygen content saturates again at a maximum value of $>31$ at %. The last dose of OA is added in the 150th minute.

Figure 1B presents XPS spectra of the carbon C 1s level, which demonstrate changes in bonding of the carbon atoms during the oxidation of graphite. Here we choose three representative spectra that are related to the zero-time fraction and to the fractions after 30 and 120 min of oxidation, where two saturation regimes in the uptake of oxygen were observed. The spectrum of graphite treated with sulfuric acid only is assigned to 0 min (black curve) and shows a single peak at 284.7 eV. It is related to C–C bond in sp$^2$ hybridization. This is consistent with the fact that sulfuric acid neither intercalated nor oxidized graphite within our experimental conditions. The XPS spectrum of the fraction with 80% of OA processed for 120 min (red curve) shows a dramatic change in chemical nature of carbon–oxygen bonds. Two wide peaks at higher binding energies dominate: The one at 285.6 eV results from sp$^3$-hybridized C–C bonds and the peak at 287.6 eV corresponds to C==O species. There is also a weak band at 288.6 eV due to O==C==O bonds.39–41

A summary of carbon chemical bonding related to the amount of OA is provided in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

The oxidation of graphite causes a significant expansion of the hexagonal crystal lattice in the [001] direction. To understand the relations between the oxidation kinetics of graphite and changes in its crystal structure we correlate XPS (Figure 2A) with the corresponding XRD (Figure 2B) diffractograms, progressively acquired during oxidative intercalation. Figure 2A presents the XPS signal development in more detail. The black curve in Figure 2B shows the zeroth fraction (graphite treated in sulfuric acid only), exhibiting an intensive and narrow (fwhm = 0.7°) diffraction peak centered at $2\Theta = 26.5^\circ$ due to an interlayer spacing of 0.34 nm in the [001] crystallographic direction, identical to that of graphite.

Figure 2. Evolution of the XPS (A) and XRD (B) response during the oxidative reaction from 0 to 120 min.
GO dispersion was finally dried. In particular, the latter dependence is quite strong and the interlayer spacing may vary within ～0.1 nm depending on the temperature. The details are shown in the Supporting Information in Figure S2a,b, respectively.

The XPS and XRD observations clearly indicate two phases during the oxidative intercalation of graphite crystals, characterized by these features: (i) In the first 75 min we see a formation of C–O–C bonded species; the graphitic phase still persists, but a part of the structure becomes oxidatively intercalated. (ii) After ～75 min, a new uptake of oxygen, mostly forming C=O and O–C=O groups, is related to complete oxidative intercalation. After 120 min, a new ordering in the c direction is established.

To understand the influence of oxidation on the graphite lattice spacing, we turned to density functional theory to calculate the equilibrium distance of bilayer graphene with different oxygen functional groups. The spacing for a pristine bilayer was found to be 3.61 Å, close to the expected graphite interlayer distance. The inclusion of an oxygen functional group on one layer increased the distance to 4.05, 4.65, and 5.69 Å, respectively, for O, OH, and COOH groups. By introducing identical groups on equivalent facing sites we found equilibrium distances of 6.22 and 6.74 Å for double O and OH groups. While still being slightly smaller than the observed spacing in the fully oxidized sample, the steric hindrance of functional groups on two facing graphene oxide lattices has been found to increase the spacing by ～20% (ref 34), bringing the simulation into good agreement with the XRD data.

The formation of defects during oxidation is reflected in the set of Raman spectra measured in particular stages of oxidation with a blue laser excitation of 2.63 eV (Figure 3A). As the oxidation progresses, the G band at ～1585 cm⁻¹ gets broader and the 2D band at ～2680 cm⁻¹ gradually disappears, eventually developing a series of broad features between 2600 and 3000 cm⁻¹. On the contrary, the defect-induced D band increases in intensity, overtaking the G mode, but then widens and slightly decreases after the intercalation has been completed. In Figure 3B we plotted the ratio of intensities of the D- to G-modes as a function of the content of oxygen in graphite. A mean distance between defects can be evaluated from this.42,43 In our sample containing about 31 at% of oxygen, the mean distance is as small as 1.5 nm.40 This is in a good agreement with the STEM images in Figure 4, which visualize the amorphous atomic structure of GO after 165 min of oxidation. Besides various nonhexagon rings and pores visible in the single layer, there is abundant atomic contamination. Most of the brighter spots in the images in Figure 4 are likely atoms of oxygen and silicon, as the presence of these elements has been identified by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) (see Figure S3).

We continued following the structural evolution long beyond the point of full intercalation of graphite. Interestingly, the XRD results in Figure 5A indicate that 1 to 3 days of reaction do not lead to a greater expansion of the lattice, but on the contrary, the structure of graphite oxide densifies, showing a relatively intensive and narrow diffraction peak centered at 12.6° (layer spacing of 0.70 nm). After 4 days, however, the peak intensity drops, and it shifts to higher diffraction angles. Meanwhile, the XPS measurements (Figure 5B) indicate a decreasing concentration of oxygen in the sample as the lower energy peak related to C–O binding progressively increases relative to the C=O peak at 286.7 eV within a time scale of days.

The XRD results of weeks-long oxidized graphite are plotted in Figure 5C. This oxidation led to the apparent exfoliation of intercalated graphite as the sharp peak at 12.6° disappeared; an AFM image (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information) demonstrates mainly single GO layers obtained after exfoliation. The disordered layers then partially reaggregate, finally forming a ridge close to 24° (Figure 5C). The proximity of this ridge to the graphite peak position suggests a partial recovery of the interlayer spacing. This can be possible only if the covalently bonded oxygen is released. Indeed, in the XPS spectra (Figure 5D) we detected a significant decrease in oxygen in the sample from the maximum content of 31 at% reached after 120 min (Figure 1B) to 20 at% after weeks of oxidation. Besides this, the XPS spectra do not show significant development between 2 and 5 weeks of oxidation time; in particular, the sp³/sp² ratio is independent of oxidation time.44 Even though we cannot provide direct evidence of the release of CO₂ molecules from our material, this would well explain three simultaneous observations in this stage:45,45,46 (1) Gaseous CO₂ molecules would exfoliate crystal planes, (2) the oxygen content would drop, and (3) forming a CO₂ molecule requires that two oxygen atoms will remove one carbon atom from the basal graphitic plane, leaving a vacancy behind, thus explaining the irreversible damage to the hexagonal graphene lattice visible in Figure 4.

Raman spectra of the GO samples oxidized for 120 min and 5 weeks did not change much (Figure S5). In contrast with XRD and XPS observations, which provide evidence of a significant change in chemical composition as well as crystal order, there are only subtle changes in the Raman spectra taken from the sample oxidized for 120 min compared with that treated for 5 weeks. The intensity of the D and 2D peaks

Figure 3. (A) Raman spectra evolution during graphite oxidative intercalation. (B) D- to G-mode intensity ratio for different content of oxygen.

Figure 4. STEM images of a GO layer after 165 min of oxidation at (A) smaller and (B) larger magnification; scale bars correspond to 1 nm.
slightly increased, but the $I_D/I_G$ intensity ratio remained essentially unchanged. This means that despite the fact that the stacking order and the chemical compositions in GO probed by XRD and XPS markedly evolve, the local order (defect density) examined by Raman spectroscopy is already established at 120 min and does not change much later. It also means that the chemical composition of GO does not play a decisive role in shaping the Raman response. Rather, a hybridization of defect sites is responsible for the intensity and line width of the Raman bands.47−50

In the last part of our study, we demonstrate the effect of oxidation on a macroscopic material property, the electrical resistivity. This was measured from freestanding membranes prepared by the filtration of suspended graphitic particles in water after different stages of oxidation. Figure 6 shows the dependence of the electrical resistivity on the content of oxygen on a semilogarithmic scale. At 25 at % of oxygen the value of electrical resistivity has increased by seven orders of magnitude; in the sample with 31 at % of oxygen, the resistance reaches a value beyond our instrument range.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The presented results indicate two phases of oxidation: The first-phase oxygen uptake of ~20 at % was predominantly found in a form of C−O−C groups bound on the surface and in part of the interlayer sites of the graphitic grains, while in other parts the graphitic spacing persists; in the second phase, the oxygen uptake above 30 at % is mostly in a form of C=O and O=O groups that completely intercalate graphite.

After 4 days of oxidation the structure of intercalated graphite becomes unstable and spontaneously exfoliates into individual GO sheets. Two weeks of oxidation causes a significant decrease in oxygen concentration from 30 to 20 at %. We interpret this observation to be due to spontaneous release of CO$_2$ causing additional structural damage and agglomeration of the exfoliated GO sheets. Our study also points out the importance of choosing proper experimental methods to characterize the products of graphite oxidation. As clearly shown, Raman spectroscopy becomes insensitive even at the early oxidation stages to structural and chemical changes in GO which, on the contrary, can be consistently traced by the XPS, XRD, STEM, and electrical resistivity measurements.
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